# Public Health Impact of implementing a 20-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine in Turkish pediatric population

Liping Huang<sup>1</sup>, Warisa Wannaadisai<sup>2</sup>, Thea Nassar<sup>2</sup>, Kerem Helvacioglu<sup>3</sup>, Basak Hacibedel <sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Health Economics and Outcomes Research, Pfizer Inc., New York, NY, USA; <sup>2</sup>Pfizer Ltd., Tadworth, UK; <sup>3</sup>Health Economics and Outcomes Research, Pfizer PFE, Istanbul, Türkiye

## INTRODUCTION

- Pneumococcal disease (PD), caused by streptococcus pneumoniae, is associated with over 100 serotypes, contributing to its significant global disease burden. [1]
- Turkey has a long history of using pneumococcal conjugate vaccination within National Immunization Program (NIP), starting in 2008 with PCV7, switching to PCV13 in 2011 and adopting 2+1 schedule in 2019 which lead to a significant reduction in PD cases. [2-5] Additionally, in 2016, adult vaccination with PCV13 was included. [6]
- However, even in countries with routine PCV vaccination, disease remains, especially disease due to serotypes not covered by currently implemented vaccines.

Model Assumptions for All PCVs	Base Case Data Assumptions	Base Case Values	Age Groups
		Direct Effects	
IPD**	PCV13 real-world effectiveness data on vaccine-type pneumococcal disease [18]	88.7%	<2 years of age
All-cause hospitalized PNE	PCV7 clinical efficacy	25.5%	
All-cause non- hospitalized PNE	data on all-cause non- invasive disease [15-17]	6.0%	<2 years of age
AOM		7.8%	
		Indirect Effects	
IPD	PCV13 real impact data on pneumococcal disease [21]	83.0% 88.0% 77.0% 73.0%	<ul> <li>&lt;18 years of age</li> <li>18-49 years of age</li> <li>50-64 years of age</li> <li>65+ years of age</li> </ul>
All-cause Hospitalized PNE	PCV13 real-world impact data on non-invasive	43.8% 35.6% 22.5% 25.2% 26.9%	<ul> <li>&lt;5 years of age</li> <li>5-17 years of age</li> <li>18-49 years of age</li> <li>50-64 years of age</li> <li>65+ years of age</li> </ul>
All-cause non-	data [19-22] life (primary series) was assumed to be ~75%	32.3%	<5 years of age IPD, invasive pneumococcal disease; PNE, pneumorg 147M, acute of this media 5-17 years of age
AOM		28.0%	<18 years of age

• The introduction of higher-valency PCVs would be expected to further reduce the burden of PD and prevent the emergence of PD caused by serotypes not covered by NIP standard of care.

# OBJECTIVE

• The study aims to estimate the clinical and economic impact of replacing PCV13 with PCV20 in the pediatric NIP in Turkey.

## METHODS

#### **Model Overview**

- A decision-analytic model was adapted to assess the health and economic impacts of switching from PCV13 to PCV20 in Turkish infants.
- Clinical impact was assessed by comparing the number of pneumococcal disease cases and deaths after implementing a pediatric NIP with PCV20 vs. PCV13. Specifically, it evaluated the differences in cases for Invasive PD (IPD), and non-invasive disease including all-cause hospitalized and non-hospitalized pneumonia (PNE), and otitis media that could be associated with streptococcus pneumoniae, along with deaths due to IPD and hospitalized PNE. (Table 3 and 4)
- The economic impact was estimated by comparing the direct and indirect medical costs associated with cases of IPD, hospitalized and non-hospitalized pneumonia and AOM between PCV20 and PCV13.(Table 3 and 4).
- Model inputs were sourced from publicly available data sources (Table 1):
  - Age-specific epidemiologic inputs (incidence rates for invasive pneumococcal disease, non/hospitalized pneumonia, otitis media) were sourced from United States due to lack of active surveillance data in Turkey and similarity between vaccine implementation in US in terms of valency and schedules. [7]
  - IPD and hospitalized pneumonia carry a risk of death, and their respective case fatality rates (CFRs) were taken from published reports and literature. [8]
  - Direct and indirect medical costs were mainly derived from local reports [9-11] and all costs were inflated to 2024 values in Turkish Lira. [12] Indirect costs were measured using human capital approach capturing productivity losses due to illness.

• Over 10 years, PCV20 was estimated to incrementally prevent 10,306 IPD cases, 229,885 pneumonia cases and ~1.4 million cases of AOM, and avert 7,097 deaths, which implies that the estimated overall relative disease case and the death reductions would be 2.8% and 4.1%, respectively.

As a result, switching from PCV13 to PCV20 across all age groups led to an additional \$9.24 billion saved in direct medical costs and \$4.38 billion in indirect medical costs, which implies that the estimated overall relative medical cost reduction would be 2.9%.

#### Table 3: Clinical and economic impact of PCV20 vs. PCV13

					Estimated Disease Cases, Deaths, Direct and Indirect medical costs over 10 years with			Estir	Estimated disease impact (PCV20 vs. PCV13)		
					PC	CV13	PCV20	F	PCV 20 vs.	PCV13	
IPD					75,454		65,148		-10,306		
Νο	on-hospita	lized pne	umonia		11,132,815		11,015,424		-117,393		
	Hospitaliz	ed pneur	nonia		3,302,213 3,189,722			-112,492			
		AOM					42,271,627		-1,399,854		
		lue to dis			174,383		167,288		-7,097		
Estimated To	tal Direct	Medical of	costs (Billi	on TRY)	335.4 326.2			-9.2			
Estimated Tot	al Indirec	t Medical	costs (Bil	lion TRY)	131.2 126.8			-4.4			
Estimated	Total Me	dical cos	ts (Billion	TRY)	46	66.6	453.0		-13.6	S	
Table 4: Yearl	Table 4: Yearly averted cases, deaths and cost savings: PCV20 vs PCV13										
	year 1	year 2	year 3	year 4	year 5	year 6	year 7	year 8	year 9	year 10	
					IPD						
PCV 20 vs. PCV13	31	465	667	873	1,091	1,346	1,392	1,440	1,482	1,519	
				Non-ho	spitalized	pneumonia					
PCV 20 vs. PCV13	325	6,223	8,618	10,894	13,121	15,774	15,746	15,675	15,589	15,428	
				Hosp	italized pr	eumonia					
PCV 20 vs. PCV13	336	4,930	7,084	9,302	11,707	14,612	15,249	15,916	16,454	16,902	
AOM											
PCV 20 vs. PCV13	9,664	76,010	103,788	130,061	155,371	185,269	185,542	185,356	185,003	183,790	
Deaths due to disease											
PCV 20 vs. PCV13	5	286	424	568	727	921	971	1,025	1,067	1,103	
Direct Costs, million TRY											
PCV 20 vs. PCV13	20.38	474.12	662.64	843.19	1,026.05	1,237.49	1,243.95	1,250.25	1,247.73	1,238.35	
Indirect costs, million TRY											
PCV 20 vs. PCV13	31.77	264.00	352.13	431.32	504.08	587.21	574.08	560.11	545.92	529.77	

- Serotype distribution was extracted from a local study starting from 2015 representing 24 different centers located in all geographical regions of Turkey. [13, 14]
- Direct vaccine effectiveness in the model was based on PCV13 effectiveness and PCV7 efficacy data. [15-18] The indirect vaccine effectiveness (herd effects) that assesses the impact on the unvaccinated populations was based on real-world impact studies. [19-22] We assumed all PCVs have the same direct and indirect effects. (Table 2)
- Vaccination rate [4]: 95.3% coverage of PCV13 for the priming series and assuming similar coverage rates for PCV20. Booster dose coverage was assumed to be the same as priming series.
- Impact outcomes were stratified by age groups. For children younger than 5 years were grouped by 12months intervals, while individuals 5 years and older were grouped into 5–17, 18–34, 35–49, 50–64, and ≥65 years.
- Time horizon was set to 10 years with an annual discount of 3% for both costs and benefits. At the start of each annual cycle, a new birth cohort would enter the model and get vaccinated.

#### **Table 1: Key Inputs**

	-			А	ge Group					
	<12	12-23	24-35	36-47	48-59	5 - 17	18 - 34	35 - 49	50 - 64	~ -
	months	months	months	months	months	years	years	years	years	65+ years
				Population	n (in thousa	ands) *				
	934.22	1,031.30	1,078.49	1,116.76	1,187.29	16,857.98	21,787.86	18,720.68	13,935.00	8,722.81
				Serotype C						
PCV-13	43.50	43.50	43.50	43.50	43.50	40.00	60.60	60.60	60.60	62.50
PCV-20	52.20	52.20	52.20	52.20	52.20	57.10	70.30	70.30	70.30	78.10
Disease incidence per 100,000 individuals [7]										
IPD	13.70	10.40	4.30	4.30	4.30	1.40	2.30	6.90	15.60	23.70
Hospitalized PNE	684.00	485.00	453.00	235.00	192.00	94.00	122.80	122.80	475.90	1,477.20
Non- hospitalized PNE	2,007.00	3,774.50	3,268.00	3,268.00	3,268.00	1,245.40	622.90	622.90	1,104.30	2,864.50
AOM	64,770.00	62,218.00	38,974.00	38,974.00	38,974.00	11,765.00	-	-	-	-
Case fatality rates, % [8]										
IPD**	7.01	5.26	3.45	3.45	3.45	4.62	4.88	7.91	11.1	14.17
Hospitalized PNE	1.30	0.53	0.40	0.42	0.61	1.34	1.40	1.40	3.80	7.97
			Direct	Medical Co	ost (per epis	sode), も [9-1	11]			
IPD	247,815.6	247,815.6	247,815.6	247,815.6	247,815.6	247,815.6	259,661.0	259,661.0	259,661.0	150,718.3
Hospitalized PNE	10,596.1	30,900.3	30,900.3	30,900.3	30,900.3	51,204.4	68,687.2	68,687.2	68,687.2	68,687.2
Non- hospitalized PNE	2,070.8	6,038.8	6,038.8	6,038.8	6,038.8	10,006.8	13,423.4	13,423.4	13,423.4	13,423.4
AOM	588.4	538.4	538.4	538.4	538.4	488.4	-	-	-	-
Indirect Medical Cost (per episode), を [9-11]										
IPD	62,247.7	62,247.7	62,247.7	62,247.7	62,247.7	62,247.7	70,070.6	70,070.6	70,070.6	70,070.6
Hospitalized PNE	7,574.3	11,189.8	11,189.8	11,189.8	11,189.8	14,805.3	8,547.4	8,547.4	8,547.4	-
Non- hospitalized PNE	1,623.9	2,399.1	2,399.1	2,399.1	2,399.1	3,174.2	1,084.6	1,084.6	1,084.6	-

### CONCLUSIONS

• Replacing PCV13 with PCV20 in the Turkish pediatric NIP is estimated to avert more PD cases and deaths while saving greater medical costs over ten years.

\*Population data was extracted from local reports. Proportion of IPD due to meningitis is 7%, and the proportion due to bacteremia is 93% [7] across all age groups. Abbreviations: IPD, invasive pneumococcal disease; PNE, pneumonia; AOM, acute otitis media **\*\****IPD includes both meningitis and bacteremia* 

• The earlier replacement with PCV20 could accelate the clinical and economic impact

References:	Available	from:15.Black S, et al. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2000;19(3):187-195.				
1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).	https://dosyaism.saglik.gov.tr/Eklenti/113109/0/risl	k-grubu- 16.Black SB, et al. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2002;21(9):810-815.				
Pinkbook: Pneumococcal Disease.	asilamalaripdf.pdf	17.Hansen J, et al. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2006;25(9):779-781.				
https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/pubs/pinkbook/pneumo.html7.	Rozenbaum M, et al. Vaccine. 2024;42(3):573-582	2. 18.Savulescu C, et al. Vaccine. 2022;40(29):3963-3974.				
. 8.	Wasserman M, et al. Hum Vaccin Imm	nunother.19.Ladhani SN, et al. Lancet Infect Dis. 2018;18(4):441-451.				
2. Ceyhan M, et al. Vaccine. 2021;39(15):2041-2047.	2019;15(3):560-569.	20.Levy C, et al. Vaccine. 2017;35(37):5058-5064.				
3. Ministry of Health of Türkiye. Genişletilmiş bağışıklama9.	Ceyhan M, et al. Hum Vaccin Imm	nunother.21.Perdrizet J, et al. Infect Dis Ther. 2023;12(5):1351-1364.				
programı genelgesi 2020.	2018;14(1):106-110.	22.Rodrigo C, et al. Eur Respir J. 2015;45(6):1632-1641.				
https://view.officeapps.live.com/op/view.aspx?src=https%310						
A%2F%2Fdosyamerkez.saglik.gov.tr%2FEklenti%2F2203 11	I.Li Y, et al. Hum Vaccin Immunother. 2017;13	(7):1681-				
5%2F0%2Fgenisletilmis-bagisiklama-programi-	1687.					
genelgesidoc.doc. 12	2.Turkish Statistical Institute	(TUIK).				
4. Ministry of Health of Türkiye. Aşı takvimi [İnternet]. https://data.tuik.gov.tr/Kategori/GetKategori?p=nufus-						
Available from: https://asi.saglik.gov.tr/asi/asi-takvimi2.	ve-demografi-109&dil=2.					
5. Ozdemir H, et al. Mikrobiyol Bul. 2021;55(4):492-506.	5	nunother.				
6. Türkiye Halk Sağlığı Kurumu. Risk grubu aşılamaları. T.C.	2020;16(11):2773-2778.					
SAĞLIK BAKANLIĞI. 2100. Report No.: 21001706.14.Hascelik G, et al. Ann Med. 2022;55(1):266-275.						
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					

**Disclosures:** Liping Huang, Warisa Wannaadisai, Thea Nassar, Kerem Helvacioglu and Basak Hacibedel are employees of Pfizer Inc.

For more information please contact: Liping Huang, M.D., M.A., M.S. Global Value and Evidence, Pfizer Inc. Collegeville, PA, USA Email: liping.huang@pfizer.com www.pfizer.com

